G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

R 134 1894

1897-8

19

(Separate cover)

File No. 5428/97

Serial Nos. 4808

Later references.

(16)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 4808

Major ~~Part B~~ for February 1899

SUBJECT.

Further Claim for remission ~~by the~~ preferred by Venkatachalam Toddy Renters, Sathmoor Circle Kanikanakalli, Trichy

~Contents~

S. 4 From Venkatachalam Toddy Contractors
D. Letter No 1333 D/5th December 1898

Petitioners State that they were not given possession of the village and their losses have been heavy and they therefore pray that their property may be ordered to be restored to them

S. 5 To Venkatachalam Toddy Contractor of Sathmoor Circle
No 5820/4281 D/23rd Dec 1898

GPB—5,000—22-10-10

Informs him that in Govt Proceedings No 72866 D/2-2-1899 a remission of Rs 578-12-5 was sanctioned in his favour and states that any further relief cannot be granted

Remarks

Submitted

One of the petitioners Venk
Chelliah was the former contractor
of sentinel Forks for the years?
At the close of the contract there
was an outstanding of Rs 1161-1
after deducting even the initial
deposit &c. Some of the properties of
Venkatachelliah and of his sureties
were sold and the amount of
Rs 589/- fetched was credited towards
the arrears - The balance of
Rs 578-12-5 was remitted on Govt:
Order No: 7234-5/05 of 2nd February 98.
The present petition prays for the rem
ration of the sold properties ~~of all arrears due on account of the~~
~~contract~~. May it therefore be forwarded
to the Excise Commr for disposal with
reference to Govt: Order No 7234-5/Ex 265 of
2nd February 98? In the meantime
an endorsement may be approved,
be granted to the petitioners stating that
on Govt: Order No: 7234-5/Ex 265 of 2nd Feb
a remission of Rs 578-12-5 has be
sanctioned in their favour after ca
consideration of his case and that a
further relief shall on no account

Vide last two pages of Office Note (20/a)

(20)

(21) Yours Respectfully

18/2/99

Ref
15/2/99

2902 Excise H No 9.997 was ret^d Excise Branch on 20-6-98.

5/2/99

Excise Branch up continuation

15th February 99. Ass^t Secretary

No. 333 / 24 Addressed to the Maha Raja Regent.

Name of Petitioner Venkatachalayya Toddy Contractor

Village Vibhuthi

Taluk Kankanhally

trict Bangalore

e of Arzi 5. 12. 98

e of Receipt 8. 2 99

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 6

ABSTRACT

In Continuation of Govt. Excise No 2902 dt 22. 6. 98 The petitioners state that The Deputy Comr: has contrary to the Excise Comrs: order No 2766 dt 1-5 ordered the Amildar to sell the attached properties by auction, & keep the proceeds in deposit, & that They were given possession of the village, & that they were the Toddy Contractors of, and that therefore their losses have been heavy. Pray that their property be restored to them.

Enclosures

Copy of Excise Asst Comrs order No 542 dt 23-12
Dy Comrs: Memo.
Dy Comrs order dt 9th 9
Excise Comrs order 11. 12. 98
Govt Excise No 2902 dt .00

14/2/99

[illegible] 542 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 396 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 23 - 12 - 1892

(Signed)

C. Raghavacharlu

Excise Asstt Commr

"True copy"

[illegible signature]

12-5-93

Excise Asst Commissioner

Bangalore District.

Memo

In December 1891. The Right of Herding Toddy-
Pathnur Revenue unknown-Sales was sold in
public Auction to Narshally Venkatanarrain for
Rs 3062-0-0 including Local Cess for the Year-1892-
& 1893-

1 Pathnur Naggani
2 allakeamdia
3 Timsamy Chatradee Vanam Gollana-

2 The said Toddy Consisted of eight Shops and the
Original Rated Toddy groves were assigned
and Mentioned in the Muttahalika executed by
the said Venkata Chelliah as Conformation of
the Sale

3 In the Month of October 1892. Venkatanarrain
Communicated to Sub the Date Trees in Chatradia
Vanam but was prevented by Lingay Gowda
who refused to allow him the use of his trees in the
Chatradia Vanam to Venkata Chelliah until
the rates agreed to purchase the trees therein at
the rate of Six annas per ~~tree~~ which Lachia Mentioned
by the Said Lingay Gowda in his own Statement.

4. Soon after this Venkata Chelliah applied to the
Amildar to get the Chatradi Vanam Mentioned
in the Muttahalika, as it Contained the only Trees
Similar Under Sub taking at that time, which at other
Vanams having been overworked. (He was told
by Revenue Officers Venkata Chelliah himself States) by
the Amildar that he Should act According to the
wishes of private Land holders (in new world
again Government proceedings & Lankankally
being an unsettled Sub

5 The Amildar then after several Representations
Seizing to hand over Battagu Vanam in lieu
of Chatradia Vanam which the petitioner
Submitted was a Source of loss to him, in as much
as no Rain to irrigate, added the Expense
of Rs 60 a Year for Trees and Cart Battage
Vanam being twenty miles from Pathnur
not Amildar from Lankankally, and also
non-payment of Rs 150 Charges increased in
purchase of Date Trees from this Land he
to following the

refused to allow him to draw Toddy. Shortages and obstructions are obliged to have caused great loss to the petitioner on account of the delay and insufficient quantity of Toddy supplied by him—

6 The allegations assigned for the short sales are (1) the unauthorised transfer of Shop from Bavarahalli to Bukkasagar which affected the Sales of the Shop at Venkatrayardadi owing to the short distance between these two villages; and (2) the permission granted to Gurumurty Gowda to export Toddy from Imam Dallahalli to Naripenpur in the Malahalli Taluq and the removal of Toddy Shop from Naripenpur to Kadanoor. The undersigned visited the Chatradi Vanam and the place where the said Toddy Shop of Nanchur village was said to have been located and found that the Site of the Shop in question was between Nanchenpur and Kadanoor. The Amildar of Malvalli States in his yadi to the Amildar of Kankanhalli that the Toddy Shop of Nanchenpur was formally in the same place in which it was found to exist during my inspection. That regard to the removal of the Shop from Bavarahalli to Bukkasagar orders were issued to the Amildar of Chennapatnam to settle the dispute at once, which were carried into effect The Deputy Commissioner ordered the attachment of the Contractor on the 7th of January. The petitioner States that he has paid the Sum of Rs 1183-3-0, and not Rs 680-0-0, as reported by the Amildar. This difference has to be explained and the Amildar has been directed to submit a detail Statement Shewing the amount recovered from the said Venkata Chettiar up to the end of December. to gether with the Treasury Certificate a Statement showing the particulars of arrears due by the said Venkata Chettiar, as well as the amount [illegible] arrangements in regard to

Submitted undersigned has sent for O.T. the accounts for the three Arrack Shops which the Shop was under Amani Management with view to find an idea as to hand for the difficulty which petitioner says he found in working the Shop since recall. In reference as the Government keeping from same Amani as petitioner and (2) accounts to explain the difference between the amounts said to be paid by the Contractor. The amounts in the accounts submitted by Amildar. The undersigned is of opinion that from the above the petitioner has suffered some loss, The statement of which will be more clear seen on examination of the above accounts, and of which (No: 1) was sent for on the 30th May and although reminders have been sent to the Amildar. No reply has been received. No. 2 was sent by the Amildar and returned to him for corrections and no reply has been received to this date. In the meantime the above is submitted.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE * EXCISE DEPARTMENT. * BANGALORE DISTRICT

(Signed) M. Venkoba Rao
6/9/93

Compared
M. Annaswamy
Clerk

Copy

M. Venkoba Rao

for Dy Commissioner

Copies applied for
Stamps paper off
Copy ready
Copy delivered

Copying fee -12-
Comparing fee -2-8
-14-8

Perused the Petitions and records in the matter of arrears of Rents due by Venkatachella Toddy vend Farmer for 1892-93 of Sathnoor Hirka of Kankanhally Taluk and his Surety Krishnappa Naik.

Order thereon 4th July 1894.

Venkatachelia took the lease of Sathnoor Hirka for 1892-93 for a Monthly rental of Rs 255/- inclusive of cess. His farm had 8 Shops

1. Sathnoor
2. Halsoor
3. Rabbasu
4. Naikenhalli
5. Yekkanadoddi
6. Venkatsamerdoddi
7. H. H.
8. Hittanara

2. The following Vanams attached to the farm:

1. Sathnoor Haggoo
2. Thoongani
3. Arlalasandra
4. Chathra
5. Gollankere

3 Venkatachelliahs complaint out of these 5 Vanams shortly after they were assigned to Kankanhalli Hirka was as regards Nagenhalli Kurumba, the Patel of that Hirka utilized these two Vanams entirely to the exclusion of Venkatachelliah. That Sathnoor Haggoo and Gollankere

having been exhausting
previous years' contracions per trees were
available. Thus he had to rely only on
Chathrada vana for the supply of today, as
Thungam vana had only about 20 trees
This Chathrada vana had more than 1000 trees,
fit for tapping during that year. When he
entered upon this vanam one Luige Gowda
obstructed him from tapping, on the ground
that the trees were on his H. V. Kurali land
and upon that claim he demanded at the
rate of 4 annas for each tree which the
Gjaradar refused to give. Besides this
he alleges that he sustained loss owing to the
Shops of other Kirkas being transferred to
places, which affected the sales in No. 61. 1
of this Kirka. This last allegation is Ground
-less.

4. The only point for consideration
is whether the Gjaradar sustained any loss
owing to the vanas assigned to him not being
de over to him and if so to what extent.

here is ample proof that he did
tap trees in the Chamra vanam. He petitioned
Taluk and Amildar gave him an
orsement that the Gjaradar should make
n arrangement with the Kurali
dentially Taluk is
Settlement. As per Government
proceedings no 1470 30-39 dated 12th
298
Gjaradars have no right to date trees.

The

The Amildar, however, gave Bothigere
vanam. me enamra vana.
Bothigere vana is 12 miles from ..... Kharikanhalli
and 22 miles from Sathnoor where as the Chatti
-ra vana is only 12 miles from Sathnoor
Thus the Haradas had 10 miles extra.
As a matter of fact he drew his bullocks
from the Bothigere vana which clearly proves
that he could not get a supply hearer

7. The Total demand for the whole
Year — 3060-
Deduct

Khists Collected ——— 680-
Deposit Credited ——— 255-
Collections at 5 Shops attached
and credited since 8th January
1873 under orders of the Deputy
Commissioner, exclusive of
Cost ₹283-3-7

Paid by Shikmidars of the
remaining 3 Shops since
8th January 1893- ₹100-

Recovered from ..... Saheb
one of the Shikmidars ——— 175-

1493-3-7

8. All that I have to say in reply to the defaulter is
to is what arose from the various orders
Amildars which compelled the Hyar
to go 10 miles more. have minutely
calculated the extra cost. It comes
within a measure to Rs 400. This as
will be recommended for remission
the Hyaradar ..... the road

Inspector Annaji Rao had a share in his Ijara and received more money than he remitted to the treasury. I have thoroughly gone into the complaint, examined witnesses and perused Annaji Rao's ~~letters~~ letters. The complaint is not proved. The complainant holds no receipts and there is absolutely no proof of extra payments to Annaya Rao Annam Row's letters do not prove partnership. I dismiss the complaint altogether. But Annaya Row is very much to blame for the management between 8th January and the end of the year (30th March 1893). The net profits were very low. He has been removed from the inspectorship owing to his bad work generally in the Taluk. It is also to be noted that the Amildar, at fault is now dead.

10 There is the question of interest. A great deal of the delay is due to Government servants who delayed final disposal of the question. It is not easy to fix the blame on any particular official. Annaya Row has already been punished. The ...edar is dead. All things considered, ...ink it is but fair to exonerate the ...ter from interest except what ...nd due as follows. The monthly ...nating interest should be 406/12 Rs and interest should be ... the balances due at that ...f 1893. The rest of the

interest e recommended for remission Rs 15 66 - 12 - 5 minus Rs 400, or Rs 1166 - 12 - 5, with interest calculated above, should be collected if it is not paid within a week after notice to be issued by the Amildar the properties under attachment should be sold.

11 Rs 18 - - - collected from Mahamad Sahib is in deposit in Chennapatna This amount does not appear from enquiry to be due by him. It should be repaid to him

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE * EXOISE DEPARTMENT. * BANGALORE DISTRICT.

(Signed) Mir Mugeeth Ali
Deputy Commissioner

True Copy

Compared
MG.

Copy ready & delivered on 20/7/94.

Mairingallappa
for Dy Commissioner.

Copying fee - - 13 - 6
Comparing fee - - 3 - -
1 - - 6.

collected
MG.

13

Rev-nue Misc

1894

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Dated 11th Dec. 1894
Despatched 12th
Received

Enclosure
General No. 2766 / 1894-9
No 125

Thus office No 2300/95, dated 12/13th Nov. 1894 forwarding copy of Chief Secretary's communication on certain points raised by Venkatachelliah, late Toddy Farmer Kanakanatalli Taluk.

In continuation of the above, has the honour to forward the enclosed

from the above Venkatachelliah. and to request early report called for in the above. It is also requested that pending final orders on the petitioners' appeal, the sale of the petitioners' property may be held in abeyance

(Sd) L. Rice, ro.
Excise Commissioner.

No. 276 I/88 Official Memorandum.

Copy of the foregoing is forwarded to petitioner Venkatachelliah, late Toddy Farmer Kanakanahalli Taluk, for information, with reference to his petition dated the Dec 1884

(Sd). L. Rice
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

M. S

OFFICE OF THE
3 JUN. 98
DEWAN OF MYSORE

No 5-820 & 23/2/99
231.
23

Draft as per
Endorsement 29
Serial No 5
In reply to his pet. d.
dated the 5th December 1898
from Kattachalaya, Toddy Con-
tractor of Jaffna praying
Kaukaulay Salt for the year
1892-93, informed that
in Govt Prop No 7234-5 dated
Ex. 265
2d February 1898 remission of
Rs 578-12-5 was sanctioned in
his favour after due considera-
tion, his case and that any
further relief cannot be grant
on any account. Petition
rejected

By order

for Secretary

No 5-821
Ex. 232.

Copy to Excise Comm
for info
2/11/99